

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,902 07/20/2001		Vik Arild	ARIL3001/REF 7002		
. 7	7590 12/13/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Bacon & Tho	omas	HENDRICKSON, STUART L			
625 Slaters Lai	ne				
Fourth Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, V.	A 22314-1176	1754			

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





DATE MAILED:

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT SERIAL NUMBER. FILING DATE EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

> Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□ тні	E PERIOD FOR I	RESPONSE:					
a) 🗌	is extended to n		or continues to run		from the date of t		;
b) 🗀	event however,	will the statutory perior	the final rejection or as o d for the response expire	later than six n	ionins irom the date	e or the marrejection	
	The date on wh	ich the response, the p	d by filing a petition und letition, and the fee have extension and the corres f the originally set shorte	enondina amour	it of the fee. Anv e	xtension fee pursuar	nt to 37 CFR
X Ap	pellant's Brief is	due in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).				
Ap to	place the applica	e to the final rejection, tion in condition for all	owance:			lowing effect, but it is	
1. 🔯			n and /or specification w				
	a. There is r		under 37 CFR 1.116(b)	why the propose	ed amendment is ne	ecessary and was no	ot earlier
	b. They rais	e new issues that woul	d require further conside	ration and/or se	arch. (See Note).		
	c. They rais	e the issue of new ma	tter, (See Note).				
	d. X They are appeal.	e not deemed to place	the application in better	form for appeal	by materially reduci	ing or simplifying the	issues for
	e. They pre	esent additional claims	without cancelling a corr	esponding num	ber of finally rejecte	ed claims.	
	NOTE:	recyding of	gas in clary 5	is a new	u isque.		
2.	Newly propose the non-allowa		wou	ıld be allowed if	submitted in a sepa	arately filed amendr	nent cancellin g
з. 🗡	Upon the filing be as follows:	an appeal, the propos	ed amendment 🔲 will I	be entered X	will not be entered a	and the status of the	e claims will
		d:	5,18				
	Howe 'Applicant	•	me the following rejection	n(s):			
							class 6 is
4. [ougle	The affidavity	exhibit or request for re classe IT is the	consideration, has been the consideration which is	considered but recycled.	does not overcome Sal recycling 1	the rejection becau is a new issue to new hy her is	clays S. 8,14 da
5. [The affidavit of presented.	r exhibit will not be con	sidered because applica	nt has not show	on good and sufficer	nt reasons why it wa	s not earlier
Th	ne proposed draw	ring correction ha	ıs 🗌 has not been ap	proved by the e	xaminer.	01/11	
_	ther					MIAL	•
				æ	FLIARTI HEND	RICKSON.	

PATENT EXAMINER

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704